UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,083	10/10/2001	John S. Hendricks	SEDN/5205	5151
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/ SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC			EXAMINER	
			SHEPARD, JUSTIN E	
595 SHREWSE SUITE 100	BURY AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SHREWSBUR	Y, NJ 07702		2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/973,083	HENDRICKS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Justin E. Shepard	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Oct 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 61-65 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 61-65 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration. r election requirement.	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

09/973,083 Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/24/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 6, first 2 paragraphs:

The applicant argues that McKenna does not disclose "group assignment rules" as they are defined in the applicant's specification. The applicant points to a portion of the specification where the rules are defined as "allowing for the assignment of a group number to each set top terminal for each target category based on information collected and stored at the set top terminal." As McKenna discloses a system wherein television viewing information is collected (column 7, lines 18-19), wherein this information is used to tailor advertisements to either specific users or groups based on a number assigned by the headend (column 10, line 64 to column 11, line 4). The addition of Vogel teaches the idea of overriding a group based on the automatic censuring of video content (column 5, lines 34-42), but as commercials could be of varied ratings based on their content (such as an ad for a violent videogame or movie) which a parent may choose to keep their children from watching, this combination is valid and meets the limitation presented in the amendment.

Applicant's remaining arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number:

09/973,083 Art Unit: 2623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 61-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKenna in view of Vogel.

Referring to claim 61, McKenna discloses a system, comprising:

a processor for generating a plurality or group assignment rules based on viewing information (figure 1, parts 17 and 24; column 7, lines 18-19; column 11, lines 27-32)

a switching engine for receiving a plurality of group assignment rules, wherein said plurality of group assignment rules associate a plurality of set top terminals to a group based on a target category (column 10, lines 64-68; column 11, lines 1-4) and a switching plan (column 11, lines 11-13) and for switching at least one program channel to at least one feeder channel according to the switching plan (column 11, lines 21-26), the feeder channel being an ancillary channel for providing a plurality of advertisements based on a group assignment in the plurality of group assignment rules (column 10, lines 50-60); and a data collection engine for collecting information including advertisements watched data (column 7, lines 18-19; Note: as the system watches the channel that the system is tuned to, it would keep track of when the system tuned into a

09/973,083

Art Unit: 2623

substitute channel) and any changes to the plurality of group assignment rules for use in future advertising targeting (column 11, lines 27-32).

McKenna does not disclose a system comprising a group assignment rules processor engine for managing the group assignment rules associated with a viewer's set top terminal by allowing a viewer to review which group a viewers set top terminal is assigned to by said processor according to a respective group assignment rule of said plurality of group assignment rules and by processing any input from the viewer to modify or override of any of the group assignment rules associated with a viewer's set top terminal.

In an analogous art, Vogel teaches a system comprising a group assignment rules processor engine for managing the group assignment rules associated with a viewer's set top terminal by allowing a viewer to review which group a viewers set top terminal is assigned to by said processor (column 5, lines 8-12 and 20-26) according to a respective group assignment rule of said plurality of group assignment rules and by processing any input from the viewer to modify or override of any of the group assignment rules associated with a viewer's set top terminal (column 5, lines 37-42).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the rules managing and overriding taught by Vogel to the system disclosed by McKenna. The motivation would have been to enable the user to block out certain programming not deemed appropriate for certain viewers.

Claim 64 is rejected on the same grounds as claim 61.

Application/Control Number:

09/973,083 Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 62, McKenna discloses a system of claim 61, further comprising: a memory for storing the plurality of group assignment rules (column 11, lines 11-13) and the advertisements (figure 1, parts 13 and 14).

Claim 65 is rejected on the same grounds as claim 62.

Referring to claim 63, McKenna discloses a system of claim 61, wherein the data collection engine includes an automatic data collection module (column 7, lines 18-19) and a manual data collection module (column 7, lines 28-38).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

09/973,083

Art Unit: 2623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin E. Shepard whose telephone number is (571) 272-5967. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JS

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600